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RESEARCH ARTICLE

**Abstract**

The present study aimed to investigate the contamination rate of various traditional Iranian cheese samples with *Yersinia enterocolitica*. In total, 200 cheese samples were collected from the northeast of Iran, and 10 types of traditional cheese were evaluated, including Lighvan, Kurdish, lactic, Tape-Salam, Onsory, Turkmen (type one and two), Sistani, Baluchi, and Kormange. The samples were analyzed using pre-enrichment Peptone-Sorbitol-Bile (PSB) broth, *Yersinia* selective agar (Cefsulodin-Irgasan-Novobiocin (CIN)) following polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Antimicrobial tests were carried out using 13 antibiotics on all the positive samples. From the cheese samples collected from Khorasan Razavi province, Kurdish cheese had the highest contamination rate (9/20; 45%), while the lowest contamination rate was observed in Lighvan and Onsory cheese. Also, the most commonly identified biotype was biotype 1A (23/38; 61%). *Y. enterocolitica* was mostly susceptible to ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime, while resistant to ampicillin and amoxicillin.
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INTRODUCTION

*Yersinia* genus is a member of the Enterobacteriaceae family, which consists of 18 species, while *Y. enterocolitica*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. pestis* are pathogens in humans (Kiani, Bakhshi, Soltan-Dallal, & Najar-Peerayeh, 2019; Rusak et al., 2018). *Yersinia enterocolitica* is a foodborne pathogen that is present in various foods, especially dairy products. This bacterium causes yersiniosis infection, acute gastroenteritis, enterocolitis, hepatosplenic abscesses, septicemia, and *Y. enterocolitica* is a psychrotrophic microorganism, which is able to survive refrigeration, remaining in frozen foods and even in freezing conditions (Petsios, Fredriksson-Ahomaa, Sakkas, & Papadopoulou, 2016). This bacterium could be found in animals, food, water, and the environment, and pigs (pork and pork products) have been reported to be the main reservoirs for the transfer of pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* (Bonardi et al., 2016). Evidence suggests that the foods that are contaminated with *Y. enterocolitica* could be the main cause of
yersiniosis development and main cause of *Yersinia* transmission to humans (Jamali *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, the prevalence of this infection has been reported in food sources, such as meat, chicken, and dairy products (Sirghani, Zeinali, & Jamshidi, 2018; Jamali *et al.*, 2015; Soltan-Dallal, Tabarraie, & Moez-Ardalan, 2004).

According to previous studies, *Y. enterocolitica* has been detected frequently in cattle, which may be transmitted to its raw milk and non-pasteurized cheese (Jamali *et al.*, 2015; Ackers *et al.*, 2000; Milad Tavassoli, Jamshidi, Movafagh, & Ashari, 2019). In Iran, the consumption of dairy products (especially traditional cheese) is highly common in villages, while there is scarce data regarding the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* pathogens in Iranian dairy products (Hanifian & Khani, 2012a; Rahimi, Sepehri, Safarpoor Dehkordi, Shaygani, & Mottaz, 2014).

Limited studies in this regard have investigated the incidence of yersiniosis in southeastern Asia (Ananchaipattana, Hosotani, Kawasaki, Pongswat, *et al.*, 2012). Epidemiological information on yersiniosis is scarce in northeastern Iran, however, findings of *Y. enterocolitica* contamination in dairy products in northwest of Iran have been reported (Hanifian & Khani, 2012b).

*Y. enterocolitica* is a highly heterogeneous group of bacteria, consisting of six biotypes and more than 57 O-serotypes. Biotypes 1B, two, three, four, and five are pathogenic, and biotype 1A is non-pathogenic (M. Tavassoli *et al.*, 2018; Bonardi *et al.*, 2016). According to the literature, biotype 1A has been isolated from patients with diarrhea (Peng *et al.*, 2018). The most common pathogenic bio-serotypes in humans include 1B/0:8, 2/0:5,27, 2/0:9, 3/0:3, and 4/0:3 (Thoerner *et al.*, 2003). Biotypes 1B, two, three, four, and five carry the *Yersinia* virulence plasmid (pYV), while biotype 1A lacks the pYV plasmid (Tennant, Grant, & Robins-Browne, 2003). Despite the lack of pYV in biotype 1A of *Y. enterocolitica*, this biotype is an opportunistic pathogen, which has been isolated from patients with gastrointestinal diseases (Özdemir & Arslan, 2015).

*Y. enterocolitica* antimicrobial resistance is considered to be a major public health concern (M. Tavassoli *et al.*, 2018; Yang *et al.*, 2016). Excessive use of antibiotics has increased the antimicrobial resistance of *Y. enterocolitica* (Fábrega & Vila, 2012). Previous studies have indicated that the *Y. enterocolitica* isolates in Iran have high sensitivity to antimicrobial agents (Bhaduri, Wesley, Richards, Draughon, & Wallace, 2009). *Y. enterocolitica* is often resistant to penicillin, ampicillin, cephalosporin, and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid antibiotics (Fábrega & Vila, 2012).

Since the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* has not been investigated in northeast of Iran, we selected Khorasan Razavi and Golestan provinces as the largest provinces in this region for the monitoring of *Y. enterocolitica* contamination in traditional cheese samples. It is believed that these products are highly consumed in the northeast of Iran. Therefore, evaluation of the contamination rate of these local dairy products could provide beneficial data on the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* infection. The present study aimed to investigate the contamination rate, biotypes, and antimicrobial resistance of *Y. enterocolitica* in various types of traditional cheese in the northeast of Iran.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sampling

This study was conducted during February-July 2018 on 200 traditional cheese samples, including Lighvan, Kurdish, lactic, Tape-Salam, Onsory, Turkmen (type one and two), Sistani, Baluchi, and Kormange, which were collected from the northeast of Iran (Khorasan Razavi and Golestan provinces). The samples were obtained from the manufacturers in different areas of Khorasan Razavi and Golestan provinces (10 areas), and 20 samples were collected from each area (every two weeks in three replicates) (Table 1). All the samples were transferred to the laboratory, preserved at the temperature of +4°C, and processed within 24 hours after collection (three days after production).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Cheese</th>
<th>Number of Sample</th>
<th>No. of Biotypes</th>
<th>Region of Collection</th>
<th>Source of Milk for Cheese Production</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighvan</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Khorasan Razavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdish</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Khorasan Razavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Khorasan Razavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsory</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Khorasan Razavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape-Salam</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Khorasan Razavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmen</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistani</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baluchi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kormange</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Cheese samples collected from various regions and the frequency of various biotypes identified by biochemical tests in the northeast of Iran.
**Y. enterocolitica Isolation and Identification**

Detection of *Y. enterocolitica* was performed using the described in the ISO No. 10273-2003 with minor modifications. Initially, 10 grams of each cheese sample was transferred into a stomacher bag containing 90 milliliters of peptone-sorbitol-bile (PSB) broth (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and homogenized for one minute. Following that, the isolates were incubated at the temperature of 25°C for 48 hours in a shaker incubator. Afterwards, 0.5 milliliter of the samples was mixed with 4.5 milliliters of 0.5% potassium hydroxide (KOH) and cultured onto Cefsulodin-Irgasan Novobiocin (CIN) agar plates (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

For each of the positive samples, 1-3 small colonies with deep red centers and translucent rims (bull’s eye) in the CIN agar plate were selected. At the next stage, the isolates were stored in Brain-Heart-Infusion (BHI) broth containing 20% glycerol at the temperature of -20°C for further identification. Urease activity, indole production, and catalase and oxidase tests were performed on the samples grown in CIN agar.

**Y. enterocolitica Biotyping**

The biotyping of the *Y. enterocolitica* isolates was performed using the method proposed by Wauters, Janssens, Steigerwalt, & Brenner (1988). The biotyping process involved the analysis of lipase activity, aesculin hydrolysis, indole production, and sugar fermentation (salicin, trehalose, sorbose, ornithine decarboxylase, inositol, and xylose).

**DNA Extraction of *Y. enterocolitica***

DNA extraction was performed on 38 *Y. enterocolitica* isolates using the DNA isolation kit (QIAGEN GmbH-Germany) in accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer.

**PCR Detection of *Y. enterocolitica***

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was determined following the method of Arnold, Neubauer, Nikolaou, Roesler, & Hensel (2004) with slight modification, the total volume of 25 microliters, including 12.5 microliters of 2× master mix (Merck, Germany) and one microliter (10 picomol) using PCR targeting *Y. enterocolitica*-specific 16S rRNA gene of forward (5'-AATACCGCATAACGTCTTCG-3') and reverse primers (5'-CTTCTTCTGCGAGTAACGTC-3') (Denazist Asia, Mashhad, Iran), as well as 4.5 microliters of the DNA template. Moreover, deionized water (CinnaGen, Tehran, Iran) was added with the final volume of six microliters, and the PCR reactions were performed in a thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories). In the thermal cycler program, initial denaturation was performed at the temperature of 94°C for five minutes in 36 cycles, denaturation was performed at the temperature of 94°C for 45 seconds, annealing was carried out at the temperature of 62°C for 45 seconds, extension was performed at the temperature of 72°C for 45 seconds, and final extension was carried out at the temperature of 72°C for seven minutes. In order to achieve the optimal annealing temperature, a gradient PCR was performed first, which temperature of 62°C was used to set in the PCR cycles. The PCR products (330 bp) were visualized via agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5% agarose gel) and *Y. enterocolitica* (PTCC 1151) was used as the positive control.

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed using the disk-diffusion method proposed by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2006) at the temperature of 26°C on the Mueller–Hinton agar (Merck, Germany; CLSI, 2006). In total, 13 antimicrobial agents were tested, including ciprofloxacin (5 μg), ceftazidime (30 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), kanamycin (30 μg), ampicillin (10 μg), amoxicillin and clavulanic acid (2:1; 30 μg), cefotaxime (30 μg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 μg), nalidixic acid (30 μg), streptomycin (10 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), and meropenem (10 μg). The growth inhibition zones were measured using a caliper to the nearest 0.01 millimeter.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was performed in SPSS version 16.0, and Differences in the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* in different cheese types and areas were analyzed by chi-square test.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* Isolated from the Cheese Samples**

Among 200 cheese samples, *Y. enterocolitica* was isolated from 38 samples (19%). In Khorasan Razavi province, the contamination rate with *Y. enterocolitica* in Kurdish cheese was 9/20, while it was 7/20 in lactic cheese, 6/20...
in Tape-Salam cheese, 3/20 in Lighvan cheese, and 3/20 in Onsory cheese. In Golestan province, the contamination rate of the cheese samples was determined to be 4/20 in Turkmen type one cheese, 2/20 in Turkmen type two cheese, 2/20 in Baluchi cheese, 1/20 in Sistani cheese, and 1/20 in Kormange cheese (Table 1).

**Biotype of Y. enterocolitica**

The most commonly isolated biotype from the studied cheese types was biotype 1A (23/38), followed by biotype 1B (13/38) and biotype 5 (2/38). In the cheese samples collected from Khorasan Razavi province, biotype 1A was isolated from Lighvan cheese (1/20). Furthermore, biotype 1A was isolated from Turkmen type one cheese (4/20), while it was not considered significant in the other samples (Table 1).

Biotype 1B was more isolated in Khorasan Razavi cheeses than Kurdish cheese and biotype 1B was isolated from Turkmen type two cheese at the rate of 2/20 (10%), while it was not considered significant in the other cheese types. Biotype 5 was also observed in the cheese samples collected from Khorasan Razavi province, while it was not detected in the samples collected from Golestan province (Table 1).

**Antimicrobial Resistance**

The antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Y. enterocolitica* in the present study are shown in (Table 2). According to the findings, all the *Y. enterocolitica* isolated from the cheese samples were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, ceftazidine and cefotaxime. The highest susceptibility rate was observed in ciprofloxacin and tetracycline (100%), followed by cefotaxime (35/38; 92.10%), gentamicin (33/38; 86.84%), and chloramphenicol (28/38; 73.68%). In addition, resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin (100%), and kanamycin was common in *Y. enterocolitica*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial Agents</th>
<th>Tasted Ranges (mm)</th>
<th>Number of Yersinia enterocolitica (n = 38; %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>0-b 1-c</td>
<td>38 (100.00) 0 (0.00) 0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin and Clavulanic Acid (2:1)</td>
<td>0-0</td>
<td>38 (100.00) 0 (0.00) 0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>33 (86.84) 5 (13.15) 0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>10-16</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 4 (10.52) 34 (89.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>6-15</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 10 (26.31) 28 (73.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 8 (21.05) 30 (78.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidine</td>
<td>7-16</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 5 (13.15) 33 (86.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 3 (7.86) 35 (92.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>0 (0.00) 3 (7.86) 35 (92.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic Acid</td>
<td>2-18</td>
<td>3 (7.86) 12 (31.56) 23 (60.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>3-15</td>
<td>8 (21.05) 9 (23.68) 21 (55.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>6-15</td>
<td>6 (15.78) 23 (60.52) 9 (23.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>5-16</td>
<td>7 (18.42) 24 (63.15) 7 (18.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*R: resistant; I: intermediate; S: susceptible; bMin; cMax

There are diverse ethnic backgrounds in the northeast of Iran, and cheese production is common due to the high consumption of this food product in this region. However, data is scarce regarding the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* in various food products (Hanifian & Khani, 2012b). *Y. enterocolitica* is a psychotropic bacterium that easily grows in the refrigerator; if cheese production processes are not appropriate, contamination with this microorganism is highly likely (Ananchaipattana, Hosotani, Kawasaki, Pongsawat, et al., 2012).

**Prevalence of Y. enterocolitica**

According to the results of the present study, 19% (38/200) of the cheese samples were contaminated with *Y. enterocolitica*. Lighvan cheese had the lowest contamination rate, which could be due to the production of lactic acid bacteria in this cheese type, thereby preventing the growth of *Y. enterocolitica* (Garabal, Rodriguez-Alonso, & Centeno, 2008). Sheep milk is used in the preparation of Lighvan cheese, and the cheese is produced with no additive starter, which maintains the proper conditions for the growth of these pathogens (Hanifian & Khani, 2012a). Kurdish cheese is commonly used in Iran and is manufactured from raw cow milk during fermentation in goat skin (Hashemi, Shahidi, Mortazavi, Milani, & Eshaghi, 2014). Contamination of raw milk and the equipment in this process are considered to be the main causes of *Y. enterocolitica* transmission (Hanifian & Khani, 2012a). According
to a conducted study in the United States, the outbreak of yersiniosis was observed in 22 cases, most of which were the consumers of pasteurized milk (Longenberger et al., 2013).

Kurdish cheese has the proper pH for \textit{Y. enterocolitica} growth due to non-thermal treatment and lack of starter culture. Lactic cheese is obtained from cow milk and has relatively similar components to those of Kurdish cheese (e.g., less lactic acid bacteria) (Hanifian & Khani, 2012b; Morgan, Bonnin, Mallereau, & Perrin, 2001; Soltan-Dallal et al., 2004). Tape-Salam and Onsory cheeses are traditionally made from cow milk, and this milk is considered to be an important source of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} transmission (Hanifian & Khani, 2012b).

In the study conducted by Jamali \textit{et al.} (2015), \textit{Y. enterocolitica} was isolated more frequently from cow milk, and while sheep milk was reported to be less contaminated with these pathogens (Jamali \textit{et al.}, 2015). Turkmen type one cheese is made from cow milk and was observed to be more contaminated compared to Turkmen type two, which is manufactured from sheep milk. In the Sistani and Baluchi traditional cheese types, minor contamination with \textit{Y. enterocolitica} pathogens was reported as well. Kormange cheese is manufactured from goat milk and in present study was reported to have a low contamination rate (5%).

Consistent with the results of the present study, the findings of Jamali \textit{et al.} regarding goat milk indicated only one case of contamination with \textit{Y. enterocolitica} (Jamali \textit{et al.}, 2015). Results of previous studies in this regard have also denoted that cow milk has higher contamination compared to other milk types. However, regarding the results of this study, it should be noted that due to the lack of data, there is not enough evidence to show that cheeses made from cow’s milk are more contaminated than other milk types. In the research by Bursova \textit{et al.}, no significant difference was reported in the growth of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} between cow and goat milk (Bursová, Necidová, Hruštiaková, & Janštová, 2017).

Another study in this regard was performed by Hanifian and Khani in the northwest of Iran on 200 cheese samples, and 21 \textit{Y. enterocolitica} (10.5%) were isolated (Hanifian & Khani, 2012b), while in the present study, the contamination rate with \textit{Y. enterocolitica} was higher (38/200; 19%). This could be due to the differences in the geographical regions or processing chain of cheese preparation, which affect the isolation rate (Kiani \textit{et al.}, 2019). Several studies have reported the contamination rate of cheese samples with \textit{Y. enterocolitica}; for instance, the contamination rate has been reported to be 2% and 4% in Egypt, 5% and 2.5% in Turkey, 4.5% in China, and 10.5% in Iran (Ahmed, Tahoun, Abou Elez, Abd El-Hamid, & Abd Ellatif, 2019; Atta, 2009; Hanifian & Khani, 2012b; Özdemir & Arslan, 2015; Y. W. Ye, Ling, Han, & Wu, 2014; Yucel & Ulusoy, 2006). On the other hand, another research conducted in Poland demonstrated that Polish cheese samples were not contaminated with \textit{Y. enterocolitica} pathogens (Zadernowska & Chajecka-Wierzchowska, 2017).

During the production of traditional cheese, no specific processes are performed to eliminate \textit{Y. enterocolitica} along with the preservation of the samples in refrigerated conditions (Bursová \textit{et al.}, 2017; Hanifian & Khani, 2012b). Notably, \textit{Y. enterocolitica} are psychrotrophic bacteria, which grow in a wide range of temperatures (2-42°C), therefore, it may also grow at refrigerated temperatures (Bursová \textit{et al.}, 2017; McAuley, McMillan, Moore, Fegan, & Fox, 2014). In the present study, the cheese samples were collected three days after production and stored in a refrigerator, where \textit{Y. enterocolitica} could grow. In line with our findings, Bursova \textit{et al.} stated that \textit{Y. enterocolitica} is able to grow at the temperature of 4°C within three days (Bursová \textit{et al.}, 2017).

**Biotype of \textit{Y. enterocolitica}**

Biotype 1A is often considered to be non-pathogenic due to the absence of pYV plasmids (Bancerz-Kisiel, Pieczywek, Łada, & Szweda, 2018; Milad Tavassoli \textit{et al.}, 2019), while some studies reported that this biotype may produce symptoms similar to pathogenic biotypes (Bancerz-Kisiel \textit{et al.}, 2018; Tennant \textit{et al.}, 2003). Most of the strains that were isolated in the present study belonged to biotype 1A. In line with our findings, the studies conducted in Argentina, Chile, Egypt, Malaysia, China, the Czech Republic, and Iran have demonstrated that most of the \textit{Y. enterocolitica} strains isolated from food are biotype 1A (Ahmed \textit{et al.}, 2019; Jamali \textit{et al.}, 2015; Kiani \textit{et al.}, 2019; Mastrodonato, Favier, Lucero Estrada, Vidal, & Escudero, 2018; Tan, Ooi, & Thong, 2014; Verbikova, Borilova, Babak, & Moravkova, 2018; Q. Ye, Wu, Hu, Zhang, & Huang, 2016). \textit{Y. enterocolitica} infection has been reported in the other food products in the studied area as well. For instance, the findings in Khorasan Razavi province indicated that the prevalence of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} in chicken meat was 25% and all isolates belonged to biotype 1A (Sirghani, Zeinali, & Jamshidi, 2018). Since biotype 1A strains are isolated from the environment and may cause gastroenteritis in human subjects, special attention should be paid to this biotype and cheese production chain hygiene.

Biotype 1B of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} is often associated with human yersiniosis (Bottone, 1997). In the current research, the isolated biotype 1B was more frequent compared to studies in China, Iran and Malaysia (Kiani \textit{et al.}, 2019; Tan \textit{et al.}, 2014; Y. W. Ye \textit{et al.}, 2014). On the other hand, the studies conducted in Argentina, Chile, the Czech Republic, and Brazil showed no isolated biotype 1B of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} (Frazão, Andrade, Darini, & Falcão, 2017; Mastrodonato \textit{et al.}, 2018; Verbikova \textit{et al.}, 2018). According to our findings and another study carried out in Iran, the prevalence rate of biotype 1B isolates was higher compared to other countries such as China, Turkey, and Egypt (Ahmed, Tahoun, Abou Elez, Abd El-Hamid, & Abd Ellatif, 2019; Özdemir & Arslan, 2015; Y. W. Ye, Ling, Han, & Wu, 2014; Yucel & Ulusoy, 2006). In Iran, Jamali \textit{et al.} reported that 15.8% of the isolates were biotype 1B and in the...
study of Kiani et al., biotype 1B was only isolated from clinical samples while none of the environmental samples were positive for this biotype (Jamali et al., 2015; Kiani et al., 2019).

Antimicrobial Resistance

According to the findings of the current research, all the Y. enterocolitica isolates were resistant to ampicillin, amoxicillin, clavulanic acid, and kanamycin, which is consistent with the previous studies in this regard (Fois et al., 2018; Fondrevez et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2018; Verbikova et al., 2018). Furthermore, Y. enterocolitica, mostly biotype 1B, was resistant to kanamycin (84%) which is in line with the study conducted in the Czech Republic. However, a research in Brazil indicated that the isolates of Y. enterocolitica were susceptible to kanamycin (Verbikova et al., 2018).

In the current research, the highest sensitivity of Y. enterocolitica (mostly biotype 1A) was observed against ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime, tetracycline, gentamicin, ceftazidime, and chloramphenicol, which is in line with the previous studies in this regard (Fois et al., 2018; Martins et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2018; Verbikova et al., 2018). In another study conducted in Iran, Y. enterocolitica was reported to be resistant to tetracycline, which is inconsistent with the findings of the current research (Jamali et al., 2015). This discrepancy might be due to geographical differences as they play a key role in the resistance pattern of Y. enterocolitica (Tavassoli et al., 2018).

According to the study in China, the resistance pattern of Y. enterocolitica was closely correlated with the source of origin, while the biotypes and serotypes were less affected (Peng et al., 2018). Pork is considered to be the main source of Y. enterocolitica, and the consumption of pork is prohibited in Islamic countries, such as Iran. Nevertheless, the prevalence of this bacterium is reported to be high in other food products (Hanifian & Khani, 2012a, 2012b; Jamali et al., 2015; Soltan-Dallal et al., 2004).

CONCLUSIONS

According to the results of the present study, the prevalence of Y. enterocolitica in the cheese types collected from Khorasan Razavi province was higher compared to the samples collected from Golestan province. Some of the confounding factors in the prevalence of Y. enterocolitica are the differences in the geographical location, source of cheese products, production chain, and public health conditions. Evaluation of various traditional cheese types in Iran in terms of Y. enterocolitica infection indicated that cheese consumption may play a key role in Y. enterocolitica transmission in the northeast of Iran. Therefore, it is recommended that further investigations be conducted on the emerging antibiotic resistance in order to identify the foods with significant risks and ensure the effectiveness of the treatments. According to our findings, the overall antimicrobial resistance of Y. enterocolitica was the same as the previous reports in Iran and other countries, with the exception of resistance against tetracycline and kanamycin. Therefore, further investigations and constructive strategies are required for the prevention and control of Y. enterocolitica in Iran.
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