# Researches Concerning the Use of Zeolites in the Culture Substrate of Tomatoes in Greenhouse Solarium Type

Viorel BERAR<sup>1)</sup>, Gheorghe POŞTA<sup>1)</sup>, Florin SALA<sup>2)</sup>, Isidora RADULOV<sup>2)</sup>, Ioan LUNGU<sup>1)</sup>, Alexandru LAZĂR<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Faculty of Horticulture and Forestry, Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Timişoara, 119 Calea Aradului, 300645, Timişoara, România; berar\_viorel@yahoo.com
<sup>2)</sup> Faculty of Agricultural, Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Timişoara, 119 Calea Aradului, 300645, Timişoara, România;

**Abstract.** Obtaining good quality substrates is done by mixing some more organic and inorganic components, of which the most used, are: manure, garden soil, black or red peat and sand. Because of the biological vegetable culture concept, the comparative analyze, from the technological and economical point of view, of the substrate mixture components impact upon tomato plants' growth and development by using different organic and inorganic (volcanic tuffs) components with a high role in correcting and improving their physical-chemical features, it is imposed. By comparing the different culture substrate variants, very significant positive differences of production were obtained in variant  $a_3$  (50% manure, 10% garden soil, 10% peat, 5% sand and 25% zeolite). In absolute values the average productions per fruiting clusters varied between 0.579 kg ( $b_7$ ) and 1.035 kg ( $b_4$ ).

**Keywords**: zeolite, substrate culture, tomatoes, quantity yield, polyethylene greenhouse

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of tomatoes is crucial, because of the fact that these can be consumed fresh, as ingredients of tomato salads or of mixed salads, in vegetable soups, sauces, unfilled tomatoes etc., as well as industrially processed as natural juice, chilly juice, cans, sauces (Apahidean, Apahidean, 2004).

The nutritive mixture used for producing seedlings and for tomatoes' culture in bags or pots has to have the following features: a proper structure in order to assure the gases change with the atmosphere, to be light, a high porosity, permeable, a high capacity of water retention, abundance in nutrients and a low content of soluble salts, a pH between 6 and 6.5 and a high dab capacity in order to maintain the pH value (Indrea *et al.*, 2007).

Obtaining good quality substrates is done by mixing some more organic and inorganic components, of which the most used, are: manure, garden soil, black or red peat and sand.

Along the bases organic and inorganic components, there also can be used in mixtures some other components, such as: perlite, vermiculite, crushed volcanic tuffs and grind tree bark in proportion of 25-30% (Ciofu *et al.* 2003).

Because of the biological vegetable culture concept, the comparative analyze, from the technological and economical point of view, of the substrate mixture components impact upon tomato plants' growth and development by using different organic and inorganic (volcanic tuffs) components with a high role in correcting and improving their physical-chemical features, it is imposed (Stoian, 2005).

Volcanic tuffs, according to the content and the zeolite species present as secondary formats by debased volcanic glass, are classified upon the nomenclature of Aleksiev and

Djourova (1974) in zeolites (with a content higher than 50%), zeolite tuffs (25-50% zeolites), tuffs with zeolites (10-25% zeolites) and volcanic tuffs without zeolites (Monolov *et al.*, 2005).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment developed during 2011 at the Didactic and Research Base of the Faculty of Horticulture and Forestry, from B.U.A.S.V.M. Timişoara.

The biological material used in the experiment was represented by Venezia  $F_1$  tomatoes hybrid.

The Volcanic tuff used in the experiment belongs to the group of polymineral zeolites, which is clinoptilolite-mordenite-natrolitic zeolites category, identified in Maramureş Hollow, the accumulation from Bârsana.

In order to show the impact of culture substrate composition upon the average production of each fruiting cluster we used the polyfactorial experiment model.

Factor A (culture substrate) with 5 gradations:

a<sub>1</sub> (Control) – 50% manure, 40% garden soil and 10% sand;

 $a_2 - 40\%$  manure, 40% garden soil, 10% peat and 10% sand;

a<sub>3</sub> – 50% manure, 10% garden soil, 10% peat, 5% sand and 25% zeolite;

a<sub>4</sub> – 20% manure, 20% garden soil, 5% peat, 5% sand and 50% zeolite;

 $a_5 - 10\%$  manure, 5% garden soil, 5% peat, 5% sand and 75% zeolite;

Factor B (the fruiting cluster) with 7 gradations.

At the maturity of consumption, there were made measurements on the weight of fruits on every fructification cluster of every plant and the weight of fruits on each plant.

The observations have been made using the current observation techniques, experimental data processing has been performed using statistical and mathematical methods and those data regarding the production were calculated and interpreted on the basis of variance analysis (Ciulca, 2002).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Productivity or production potential as fundamental trait of every plant – seen not only in terms of biology but also in economic way – should be analyzed and known thoroughly in all its aspects. Acknowledges of this are absolutely necessary in order to apply optimal solutions both in creation activity of new forms or hybrids, which refers to the improvement of plants, and in the activity of technological specialists that have the duty to cultivate them and to provide them with conditions that permit full expression of potential production (Savatti *et al.*, 2004).

In this sense, during the vegetation period there were made observations regarding quantity order, in the fruit weight on each fructification cluster (Berar *et al.*, 2010).

The experimental results obtained through the comparison of the nutritive substrate and the fruiting cluster variants are presented in tables 1 and 2.

Tab. 1
The influence of the culture substrate upon the average production of fruits/fruiting cluster
(Comparison of the nutritive substrate variants)

Culture substrate	Average production of fruits/fruiting cluster (kg)	Relative production of fruits/fruiting cluster (%)	Difference (kg)	Significance
$a_2$ - $a_1$	0.761-0.684	111.25	0.077	*
a <sub>3</sub> -a <sub>1</sub>	1.021-0.684	149.26	0.337	***
a <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>1</sub>	0.783-0.684	114.47	0.099	**
a <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>1</sub>	0.593-0.684	86.69	- 0.091	00
a <sub>3</sub> -a <sub>2</sub>	1.021-0.761	134.16	0.260	***
a <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>2</sub>	0.783-0.761	102.89	0.022	-
a <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>2</sub>	0.593-0.761	77.92	- 0.168	000
a <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>3</sub>	0.783-1.021	76.68	- 0.238	000
a <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>3</sub>	0.593-1.021	58.08	- 0.428	000
a <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>4</sub>	0.593-0.783	75.73	- 0.190	000

 $LSD_{5\%} = 0.051 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{1\%} = 0.079 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 0.125 \text{ kg}$ 

By analyzing the experimental results presented in table 1 there can be observed the following:

- very significant positive differences of the average production of fruits per fruiting cluster are obtained by comparing variant  $a_3$  with variants  $a_2$  and  $a_1$ ;
- using the variant of nutritive mixture with 50% manure, 10% garden soil, 10% peat, 5% sand and 25% zeolite as a culture substrate gave production increase of 30-45%, for culture in greenhouse solarium type.

Tab. 2
The influence of the culture substrate upon the average production of fruits/fruiting cluster
(Comparison of the fruiting clusters)

	A	Dalatina				A	Dalatina		
	Average	Relative				Average	Relative		
	production of fruits/	production	Difference			production of fruits/	production	Difference	
Fruiting	of fruits/	of fruits/		C:: C:	Fruiting	of fruits/	of fruits/		
cluster	fruiting	fruiting	(kg)	Significance	cluster	fruiting	fruiting	(kg)	Significance
	cluster	cluster				cluster	cluster		
	(kg)	(%)				(kg)	(%)		
$b_2-b_1$	0.811-0.613	132.30	0.198	***	$b_4-b_3$	1.035-0.947	109.29	0.088	*
b <sub>3</sub> -b <sub>1</sub>	0.947-0.613	154.48	0.334	***	$b_5-b_3$	0.883-0.947	93.24	- 0.064	-
b <sub>4</sub> -b <sub>1</sub>	1.035-0.613	168.84	0.422	***	$b_6-b_3$	0.751-0.947	79.30	- 0.196	000
b <sub>5</sub> -b <sub>1</sub>	0.883-0.613	144.04	0.270	***	$b_7 - b_3$	0.579-0.947	61.14	- 0.368	000
$b_6-b_1$	0.751-0.613	122.51	0.138	**	$b_5-b_4$	0.883-1.025	86.14	- 0.142	00
b <sub>7</sub> -b <sub>1</sub>	0.579-0.613	94.45	- 0.034	-	$b_6-b_4$	0.751-1.025	73.25	- 0.274	000
$b_3-b_2$	0.947-0.811	116.76	0.136	**	$b_7 - b_4$	0.579-1.025	56.48	- 0.446	000
$b_4-b_2$	1.035-0.811	127.62	0.224	***	$b_6-b_5$	0.751-0.883	85.05	- 0.132	00
$b_5-b_2$	0.883-0.811	108.87	0.072	-	$b_7 - b_5$	0.579-0.883	65.57	- 0.304	000
b <sub>6</sub> -b <sub>2</sub>	0.751-0.811	92.60	- 0.060	-	b <sub>7</sub> -b <sub>6</sub>	0.579-0.751	77.09	- 0.172	000
b <sub>7</sub> -b <sub>2</sub>	0.579-0.811	71.39	- 0.232	000					

 $LSD_{5\%} = 0.081 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{1\%} = 0.117 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 0.150 \text{ kg}$ 

Analyzing the experimental results presented in table 2 concerning the comparison of the average production obtained in each fruiting cluster there can be observed the following:

- very significant positive differences are obtained by comparing fruiting clusters 2-5 with 1, respectively fruiting cluster 4 with 2;

- in absolute values the average productions per fruiting clusters varied between 0.579 kg  $(b_7)$  and 1.035 kg  $(b_4)$ .

The experimental results obtained through the comparison of the nutritive substrate variants for each fruiting cluster variants are presented in table 3.

Tab. 3
The influence of the culture substrate upon the average production of fruits/fruiting cluster
(Comparison of the nutritive substrate variants for each fruiting clusters)

Mixture   Of fruits   Of fru		Average	Relative				Average	Relative		
Of facts   fruiting cluster   cluster   cluster   cluster   cluster   (kg)   (%)   (%)   (2b)   (		production	production	D: cc			production	production	D. CC	
Of facts   fruiting cluster   cluster   cluster   cluster   cluster   (kg)   (%)   (%)   (2b)   (	Mixture	of fruits/	of fruits/	Difference	ac.	Mixture	of fruits/	of fruits/	Difference	G
Cluster (kg)				(Kg)	Significance				(Kg)	Significance
agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.680-0.658   103.34   0.022   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.901-0.995   90.55   -0.094   0 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.813-0.658   123.55   0.155   *** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.812-0.995   81.60   -0.183   000 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.658   105.62   0.037   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.812-0.995   81.60   -0.183   000 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.658   90.72   -0.061   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.812-1.027   79.06   -0.215   000 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.897-0.658   90.72   -0.061   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.812-1.027   79.06   -0.215   000 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.995-0.680   102.20   0.015   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.812-0.901   90.12   -0.089   00 agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.995-0.680   102.20   0.015   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.819-0.785   104.33   0.034   - agb <sub>1</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.813   85.48   -0.118   00 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.831-0.785   105.85   0.046   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.681   85.89   -0.098   00 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.735-0.785   93.63   -0.050   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.695   85.89   -0.098   00 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>1</sub>   0.735-0.785   93.63   -0.050   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.783-0.757   103.43   0.026   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.949-0.819   115.87   0.130   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.914-0.757   120.73   0.157   *** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.831-0.949   87.56   0.118   00 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.914-0.757   104.49   0.034   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.831-0.949   87.56   0.118   00 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.914-0.783   116.73   0.131   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.735-0.819   89.74   -0.084   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.914-0.783   116.73   0.131   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.775-0.757   99.33   -0.005   - agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.757   90.09   -0.075   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.735-0.819   87.74   -0.006   agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.783   87.10   -0.101   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.878-0.757   115.98   0.121   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.783   87.10   -0.101   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.878-0.757   115.98   0.121   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.783   87.10   -0.101   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.878-0.757   115.98   0.121   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.791   86.54   -0.123   000 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.878-0.757   110.40   ** agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.791   86.54   -0.103   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>   0.689-0.757   88.37   -0.088   0 agb <sub>2</sub> -agb <sub>2</sub>		_						_		
a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.813-0.658   123.55   0.155   ***   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.812-0.995   81.60   -0.183   000     a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.658   105.62   0.037   - a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.901-1.027   87.73   -0.126   000     a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.658   90.72   -0.061   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.812-1.027   79.06   -0.215   000     a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.813-0.680   119.55   0.133   ** a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.812-0.901   90.12   -0.089   00     a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.680   102.20   0.015   - a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.812-0.901   90.12   -0.089   00     a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.680   102.20   0.015   - a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.819-0.785   104.33   0.034   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.695-0.813   85.48   -0.118   00   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.949-0.785   105.85   0.046   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.813   73.43   -0.216   000   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.831-0.785   105.85   0.046   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>1</sub> -a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>1</sub>   0.597-0.695   85.89   -0.098   00   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.935-0.85   93.63   -0.050   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.735-0.785   93.63   -0.050   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.714-0.757   103.43   0.026   - a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.831-0.819   101.46   0.012   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.791-0.757   104.49   0.034   - a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.735-0.819   89.74   -0.084   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.791-0.757   104.49   0.034   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.735-0.831   88.44   -0.096   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.791-0.783   116.73   0.131   ** a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.735-0.831   88.44   -0.096   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.791-0.783   101.02   0.008   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.755-0.757   101.05   0.008   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.791-0.783   101.02   0.008   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.755-0.757   101.05   0.008   - a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.791   486-54   -0.123   00   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.669-0.757   88.37   0.008   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.791   486-54   -0.123   00   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.669-0.757   88.37   0.088   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>   0.682-0.914   74.61   -0.232   000   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>3</sub>   0.669-0.757   88.37   0.008   0   a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>2</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>2</sub>		(kg)	(%)				(kg)	(%)		
a_b a_b _b _ 0.695-0.658   105.62   0.037   - a_b a_b _b _ 0.901-1.027   87.73   - 0.126   00     a_b a_b _b _ 0.1597-0.658   90.72   - 0.061   - a_b a_b _a _0.812-1.027   79.06   - 0.215   000     a_b a_b _b _ 0.813-0.680   119.55   0.133   ** a_b a_b _a _0.812-1.027   79.06   - 0.215   000     a_b a_b _b _ 0.695-0.680   102.20   0.015   - a_b a_b _a _0.812-0.901   90.12   - 0.089   00     a_b a_b _b _ 0.695-0.680   102.20   0.015   - a_b a_b _a _b _0.812-0.785   104.33   0.034   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.597-0.680   87.79   - 0.083   0   a_b a_b _b _0.819-0.785   104.33   0.034   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.597-0.813   85.48   - 0.118   00   a_b a_b _b _0.831-0.785   105.85   0.046   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.597-0.813   73.43   - 0.216   000   a_b a_b _b _0.735-0.785   93.63   - 0.050   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.597-0.695   85.89   - 0.098   00   a_a a_b _b _0.735-0.785   93.63   - 0.050   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.597-0.695   85.89   - 0.098   00   a_a a_b _b _0.831-0.819   101.46   0.012   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.797-0.695   85.89   - 0.098   00   a_a a_b _b _0.831-0.819   101.46   0.012   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.791-0.757   104.49   0.034   -   a_b a_b _b _0.831-0.819   101.46   0.012   -     a_b a_b _b _ 0.797-0.757   104.49   0.034   -   a_b a_b _b _0.831-0.949   87.56   - 0.118   00     a_b a_b _b _ 0.682-0.757   90.09   - 0.075   0   a_b a_b _b _0.735-0.819   89.74   - 0.084   0       a_b a_b _b _ 0.682-0.757   90.09   - 0.075   0   a_b a_b _b _0.752-0.757   99.33   - 0.005   -     a_b a_b _b _0.682-0.783   87.10   - 0.101   0   a_b a_b _b _0.752-0.757   99.33   - 0.005   -     a_b a_b _b _0.682-0.783   87.10   - 0.101   0   a_b a_b _b _0.752-0.757   10.05   0.008   -     a_b a_b _b _0.682-0.791   86.21   - 0.109   00   a_b a_b _b _0.765-0.757   10.105   0.008   -     a_b a_b _b _0.845-0.855   98.83   - 0.010   -   a_b a_b _b _0.669-0.752   88.96   - 0.083   0       a_b a_b _b _0.861-0.855   10.70   0.006   -   a_b a_b _b _0.669-0.752   88.96   - 0.083	$a_2b_1-a_1b_1$	0.680-0.658	103.34	0.022	-	a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>4</sub>	0.901-0.995	90.55	- 0.094	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_3b_1-a_1b_1$	0.813-0.658	123.55	0.155	***	a5b4-a2b4	0.812-0.995	81.60	- 0.183	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_1$ - $a_1b_1$	0.695-0.658	105.62	0.037	-	a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>4</sub>	0.901-1.027	87.73	- 0.126	00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_1-a_1b_1$	0.597-0.658	90.72	- 0.061	-	a5b4-a3b4	0.812-1.027	79.06	- 0.215	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_3b_1-a_2b_1$	0.813-0.680	119.55	0.133	**			90.12	- 0.089	00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_1-a_2b_1$	0.695-0.680	102.20	0.015	-	$a_2b_5-a_1b_5$	0.819-0.785	104.33	0.034	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_1-a_2b_1$	0.597-0.680		- 0.083	0	$a_3b_5-a_1b_5$	0.949-0.785	120.89	0.164	***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_1-a_3b_1$	0.695-0.813	85.48	- 0.118	00	a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>1</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.831-0.785	105.85	0.046	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_1-a_3b_1$	0.597-0.813	73.43	- 0.216	000	$a_5b_5-a_1b_5$	0.735-0.785	93.63	- 0.050	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_1-a_4b_1$	0.597-0.695	85.89	- 0.098	00	$a_3b_5-a_2b_5$	0.949-0.819	115.87	0.130	**
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_2b_2-a_1b_2$	0.783-0.757	103.43	0.026	-	a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.831-0.819	101.46	0.012	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_3b_2-a_1b_2$	0.914-0.757	120.73	0.157	***	a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.735-0.819	89.74	- 0.084	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_2-a_1b_2$	0.791-0.757	104.49	0.034	-	a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.831-0.949	87.56	- 0.118	00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_2-a_1b_2$	0.682-0.757	90.09	- 0.075	0	a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.735-0.949	77.44	- 0.214	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			116.73	0.131	**	a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>5</sub> -a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>5</sub>	0.735-0.831	88.44	- 0.096	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_2-a_2b_2$	0.791-0.783	101.02	0.008	-	$a_2b_6-a_1b_6$	0.752-0.757	99.33	- 0.005	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_2-a_2b_2$	0.682-0.783	87.10	- 0.101	0			115.98	0.121	**
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_2-a_3b_2$	0.791-0.914	86-54	- 0.123	00			101.05	0.008	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_2-a_3b_2$	0.682-0.914	74.61	- 0.232	000	$a_5b_6-a_1b_6$	0.669-0.757	88.37	- 0.088	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_2-a_4b_2$	0.682-0.791	86.21	- 0.109	00	$a_3b_6-a_2b_6$	0.878-0.752	116.75	0.126	**
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_2b_3-a_1b_3$	0.845-0.855	98.83	- 0.010	-	$a_4b_6-a_2b_6$	0.765-0.752	101.72	0.013	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_3b_3-a_1b_3$	0.980-0.855	114.61	0.125	**	$a_5b_6-a_2b_6$	0.669-0.752	88.96	- 0.083	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_3-a_1b_3$	0.861-0.855	100.70	0.006	-	$a_4b_6-a_3b_6$	0.765-0.878	87.12	- 0.113	00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_3-a_1b_3$	0.763-0.855	89.23	- 0.092	0	$a_5b_6-a_3b_6$	0.669-0.878	76.19	- 0.209	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_3b_3-a_2b_3$	0.980-0.845	115.97	0.135	**	a <sub>5</sub> b <sub>6</sub> -a <sub>4</sub> b <sub>6</sub>	0.669-0.765	87.45	- 0.096	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_3-a_2b_3$	0.861-0.845	101.89	0.016	-	$a_2b_1-a_1b_1$	0.663-0.653	101.53	0.010	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_3-a_2b_3$	0.763-0.845	90.29	- 0.082	0	$a_3b_1-a_1b_1$	0.793-0.653	121.43	0.140	**
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_4b_3-a_3b_3$	0.861-0.980	87.85	- 0.119	00	$a_4b_1-a_1b_1$	0.678-0.653	103.82	0.025	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_3-a_3b_3$	0.763-0.980	77.85	- 0.217	000	$a_5b_1-a_1b_1$	0.577-0.653	88.36	- 0.076	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_5b_3-a_4b_3$	0.763-0.861	88.61	- 0.098	0	$a_3b_1-a_2b_1$	0.793-0.663	119.60	0.130	*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$a_{2}b_{4}$ - $a_{1}b_{4}$	0.995-0.895	111.17	0.100	*			102.26	0.015	-
$a_5b_4$ - $a_1b_4$ 0.812-0.895 90.72 - 0.083 0 $a_5b_1$ - $a_3b_1$ 0.577-0.793 72.76 - 0.216 000			114.74	0.132	**			87.02	- 0.086	0
	$a_4b_4-a_1b_4$	0.901-0.895	100.67	0.06	-	$a_4b_1-a_3b_1$	0.678-0.793	85.49	- 0.115	00
$a_1b_1-a_2b_4$ 1,027-0,995 103,21 0.032 - $a_2b_1-a_2b_1$ 0.577-0,678 85,10 - 0.101 0	$a_5b_4-a_1b_4$	0.812-0.895	90.72	- 0.083	0	$a_5b_1-a_3b_1$	0.577-0.793	72.76	- 0.216	000
	a <sub>3</sub> b <sub>4</sub> -a <sub>2</sub> b <sub>4</sub>	1.027-0.995	103.21	0.032	-	$a_5b_1-a_4b_1$	0.577-0.678	85.10	- 0.101	0

 $LSD_{5\%} = 0.072 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{1\%} = 0.103 \text{ kg}$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 0.147 \text{ kg}$ 

The comparative analysis of the experimental results presented in table 3 show very significant differences of production of fruits/ fruiting cluster when compared with variant  $a_3$  with variants  $a_1$ , for the first three fruiting cluster.

## CONCLUSIONS

According to the experimental obtained results concerning the influence of culture substrate upon the average production on fruiting clusters of tomatoes cultivated in greenhouses, we can take the following conclusions:

- using the zeolite in soil mixtures represents an alternative to the classical nutritive substrate, composed of those three or four bases organic and inorganic components;
- by comparing the different culture substrate variants, very significant positive differences of production were obtained in variant a<sub>3</sub> (50% manure, 10% garden soil, 10% peat, 5% sand and 25% zeolite);
- introducing zeolite in the composition of the culture substrate of tomatoes cultivated in greenhouses contributes to the obtaining of superior average productions per fruiting cluster compared to the classical variants;
- the production differences obtained in case of using 25% zeolite in the substrate composition are of 30-45%.

Acknowledgments. The researches which formed the basis of obtaining these results were funded by CNCSIS Bucharest Exploratory Research Project ID\_1667: Possibilities concerning the use of zeolites in the biodynamic concept and the elaboration of some technological models for the protected cultivation of tomatoes, project number 1048/2009, Project Manager: Prof.dr. Berar Viorel.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Aleksiev, B. S. and E. G. Djourova (1974). Zeolite rocks: Classification and nomenclature. Comptes-Rendus Acad. Bulgaria Science. 27(3):373-374.
- 2. Apahidean, Al. S. and M. Apahidean (2004). Cultura legumelor și ciupercilor. Editura Academicpres. Cluj-Napoca.
- 3. Berar, V., Gh. Poşta, F. Sala, I. Radulov and I. Lungu (2010). Researches Concerning the Use of Zeolites in the Culture Substrate of Tomatoes in Greenhouses. Bulletin UASVM Horticulture. 67(1)/2010:219-223.
- 4. Ciofu, R., N. Stan, V. Popescu, P. Chilom, S. Apahidean, A. Horgoş, V. Berar, K. F. Lauer, and N. Atanasiu (2003). Tratat de legumicultură. Editura Ceres. București.
  - 5. Ciulca, S. (2002). Tehnică experimentală. Editura Mirton. Timișoara.
- 6. Indrea, D., S. Al. Apahidean, M. Apahidean, D. N. Măniuțiu and R. Sima (2007). Cultura legumelor. Editura Ceres. București.
- 7. Monolov, I., D. Antonov, G. Stoilov, I. Tsarevo and M. Baev (2005). Jordanian zeolitic tuff us a row material for the preparation of substrates used for plant growth. JCEA. 6(4):485-494
- 8. Savatti, M., G. Nedelea and M. Ardelean (2004). Tratat de ameliorarea plantelor. Editura Marineasa. Timişoara.
- 9. Stoian, L. (2005). Ghid practic pentru cultura biologică a legumelor. Editura Tipoactiv. Bacău.