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Abstract
The present work was performed to study the anticancer effect of doxorubicin HCL and propolis and their combination in the treatment of mammary cancer induced by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea(MNU) beside the ameliorating effect of propolis against side-effects of doxorubicin HCL using the clinicobiochemical and histopathological changes.

One hundred and twenty five female white albino rats (two months old and 100 gm body weight) were divided into five equal groups. Starting of experiment by induction of carcinogen N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU) into gps. (2-5) while rats kept as control in gp.(1). After incidence of mammary tumor in gps. (2-5) begin the treatment which continues for 70 days. Rats were given carcinogen only, doxorubicin HCL, propolis and combination of therapeutic dose from doxorubicin HCL and propolis in gps.(2,3,4 and 5), respectively. Blood samples were collected after 120 days from carcinogen induction and (30 and 70 days) post-treatment. Elevated serum CA 15.3 level in rat gp.(2) injected with carcinogen MNU after 120 days. Serum CA 15.3 showed a significant decrease in gps.(3 and 5) especially 70 days post-treatment.

Results of Gp.(3) showed increasing serum ALT, AST and ALP activities and creatinine and urea level and hepatic (MDA) assay in addition to decrease serum protein and albumin and hepatic (CAT) and (SOD) activities 30 and 70 days post-treatment. These changes were ameliorated in gp.(5). Gp.(4) showed improvement of the previous parameters. Histopathological finding confirm these investigations. So it is concluded that doxorubicin HCL caused hepatic and renal injuries and had cumulative side effects (increasing side effects by increasing intake duration). The propolis did not induce side effects and possessed some anticancer activity but lower than doxorubicin HCL. Combination of doxorubicin HCL with propolis decreased side effects of doxorubicin HCL and increased anticancer activity of the propolis.
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most animal and human tumors prescribed alone or in combination with other agents which has the widest spectrum of activity (Gruber et al., 2004).

Chemoprevention or chemotherapy via nontoxic agents could be one approach for decreasing the incidence of cancers. Many naturally occurring agents have shown chemopreventive and chemotherapeutic (anticancer) potential in a variety of bioassay systems and animal models (Galati and O’Brien, 2004). Propolis has attracted much attention in recent years as a useful or potential agent with application in pharmaceutical products (Valente et al., 2011). Propolis is a strongly adhesive and resinous substance which collected, transformed and used by bees to seal holes in their honeycombs, smooth out the internal walls and protect the entrance against intruders (Galati and O’Brien, 2004). There have been a great number of publications lately considering the antitumor action of propolis and its constituents which indicate their potential for the development of new antitumor agents (Badr et al., 2011b; Kubina et al., 2015).

The aim of the present work is to estimate side effects of doxorubicin HCL and the efficacy of propolis as natural anticancer agent when used alone. The combination of propolis with doxorubicin HCL was also investigated a combination that can counter the side effects of the later. The evaluation included the biochemical and histopathological changes in all rat groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

A total of 125 clinically healthy female white albino rats (two months old and 100 gm average body weight) were purchased from the laboratory animal housing of Veterinary Medicine at Zagazig University in Egypt. The animals were kept in metal cages, under hygienic conditions, given balanced ration with water ad-libitum and observed for 10 days before starting of experiment. Experiment was conducted in accordance with the guidelines set by Animal Health Research Ethics Training Initiative, Egypt and experimental protocols were approved by the institutional animal ethics committee.

N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA as pale yellow crystals or light yellow moist powder. It dissolved in (0.9%) NaCl solution and acidified to pH (4) with acetic acid within 20 min of preparation (Turcot-Lemay and Kelly, 1980).

Doxorubicin HCL (Adriablastina) was obtained from Pharmacia Italia, Italia as a sterile red-orange lyophilized powder which available in vial. Doxorubicin HCL (10 mg) vial was reconstituted with 5 ml of sodium chloride (0.9%) to give a final concentration of (2 mg/ml) of doxorubicin HCL. After adding the diluent, the vial should be shaken and the contents allowed dissolving.

Propolis was obtained from bee hives located in Sharkia governorate, Egypt as bulk of glue like brownish material resulted from scraping off the frames of bee hives. Propolis bulk was cut into small pieces and mixed with deionized water and shaken at 95°C for 2 hours according to therapeutic dose. Then cooled to room temperature and centrifugated at 1500 r.p.m for 5 minutes to obtain the supernatant (Badr et al., 2011b; Kubina et al., 2015).

Experimental design

A total of 125 female white albino rats were equally divided into five groups at random (25 rats per group):

Group 1 rats were kept as the negative control group. Group 2 rats were injected intraperitonally with N-methyl-N-nitrosourea at begging of the experiment in (50 mg/kg B.W, a single dose) as a positive control group (Turcot-Lemay and Kelly, 1980). Group 3 rats were treated by doxorubicin HCL via intraperitoneal injection (10 mg/kg B.W every 3 weeks) after 120 days of mammary cancer induction by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea injection (Powis, 1983). Group 4 rats were treated by propolis orally (50 mg/kg B.W daily) after 120 days of mammary cancer induction by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea injection by using a bent stainless steel stomach tube (Badr et al., 2011b). Group 5 rats were treated by combination of therapeutic dose of doxorubicin HCL and propolis after 120 days of mammary cancer induction by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea injection. Treatments were done for 69 days after the occurrence of mammary cancer. The end point of experiment was determined by the spontaneous death of animals.

Serum and tissue sampling

Rats were sacrificed after they had been anesthetized by ether at 120 days post carcinogen induction and 30 and 70 days post-treatment. Blood samples were collected from the retro-
orbital venous plexus as five ml of blood without anticoagulant in a sterile test tube for separation of serum by centrifugation at 3000 r.p.m. /15 minutes. The obtained sera were used to determine total protein (TP), albumin and globulin levels, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activities, creatinine, urea and cancer antigen 15.3 (CA 15.3) levels. Parts of liver were removed by dissection and homogenate for estimation of malondialdehyde (MDA) level and catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities. All biochemical tests were done using test kits of Diamond Egypt, Vitro, Biodiagnostic and Monobind Inc. Small specimens were taken from selected tissue and fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin solution, dehydrated in gradual ethanol (70-100%), cleared in xylene, and embedded in paraffin. Five-micron thickness paraffin sections were prepared and then routinely stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) dyes. The sections were mounted with Canada balsam and covered with cover slide to be ready for histopathological examination.

**Statistical analysis**

Data obtained from this investigation were analyzed statistically using F-test. Means in the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented by the letter (a). Tumor marker results after 120 days from carcinogen induction analyzed using T-test (Tamhane and Dunlop, 2000).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Elevated serum CA 15.3 level (Tab. 1 and 2) was found in breast cancer cases as primary diagnosis. There is a correlation between tumor marker, tumor size and nodal involvement with significantly higher concentrations in cases with larger tumors or in patients with nodal involvement (Czeiger et al., 2014). So its level increased in animals injected with carcinogen after 120 days from induction of cancer as relevant tumor marker for breast cancer. Increasing in serum CA 15.3 level in rats which injected with N-methy-N-nitrosourea alone to induce mammary cancer without treatment may be due to a clear correlation between serum CA 15.3 level and disease progression. Propolis treated group also revealed increasing in serum CA 15.3 level but with lesser degree. The more advanced the disease, the higher the value of serum CA 15.3 (Nieder et al., 2015). Serum CA 15.3 level showed non significant change in groups treated by doxorubicin HCL alone and after combination it with propolis may be due to reduction in serum CA 15.3 level following treatment was a favorable predictive factor for time to disease progression during systemic therapy (Dong et al., 2015).

Regarding to the histopathological results, mammary glands of rats (Fig. 1-9) after one hundred and twenty days from injection with (MNU) showed adenocarcinoma with proliferation of epithelial and stromal components, anastomosing nests of tumor cells with large hyperchromatic nuclei, delicate cytoplasm and numerous mitotic abundant stroma of fibromyoblasts and eosinophilic material in its lumina. Thirty days post-treatment, the mammary glands of rats in gp.(2) showed multifocal lobular adenocarcinoma in the terminal duct and acini with numerous mitoses. Seventy days post-treatment, the mammary glands showed low grade, sderosing ductal carcinomas and moderated hyperplasia in the lymphocytes of lymphoid follicles. Our results agreed partially with (Golbabapour et al., 2011).

Data presented in this study (Tab. 3 and 4) demonstrated that doxorubicin HCL cause hypoproteinemia and hypoalbuminemia may be due to exposure of the kidneys to drug induced nephrotic syndrome characterized by proteinuria (albuminuria) led to extremely low serum albumin level (Desassis et al., 1997) , which lead to hypoproteinemia (Swift et al., 2003). Also it may cause the oxidative stress and reduction of protein synthesis in the liver tissue (Boutabet et al., 2011). Combined treated group by doxorubicin HCL and propolis has hypoproteinemia and hypoalbuminemia with lesser in severity than treatment by doxorubicin HCL alone may be due to propolis extract restored the renal functions, prevents renal oxidative stress and reduced the toxic effect of doxorubicin HCL (van Hoesel et al., 1984).

Hypoalbuminemia was found in rats group which injected with N-methy-N-nitrosourea to induce mammary cancer without treatment may be due to ability of substance to damage liver polyribosomes and protein-soluble factors of the cell sap. The damage may be a consequence of alkylation and carbamoylation of RNA and
proteins, but the maximal level of methyl groups (70 to 80%) transferred to polyribosomes is found in RNA (Lerman et al., 1974). Increase in serum globulin level in rats group which injected with N-methy-N-nitrosourea alone to induce mammary cancer without treatment may be due to the association of advancing breast cancer with rises in serum immunoglobulin levels of all major classes suggests a defense reaction against increasing tumor load or secretion immunoglobulin by tumor (Pettingale et al., 1977).

Our study established that doxorubicin HCL increased serum indices of liver function including ALT, AST and ALP activities (Tab. 1 and 2). Increasing in ALT activity may be due to exposure of hepatocytes to doxorubicin HCL result in increase in the formation of oxidative damage (Vanacker et al., 2001). It has been observed that there is an increase in the apoptotic processes in liver tissue after a single dose of doxorubicin HCL (Petrycz et al., 2005). Doxorubicin HCL in the form of doxorubicin semiquinone has been suggested to play a major role in its hepatotoxic action (Hasinoff and Begleiter, 2006). Semiquinones are unstable under aerobic conditions thereby generating superoxide anion radicals by reacting with molecular oxygen (Lee et al., 1991). Hepatocytes are the likely targets of reactive oxygen species (ROS) attack in the failing liver. It is conceivable that free radicals cause damage at

Fig. 1. Mammary glands of rat showing adenocarcinoma with the proliferation of the epithelial and stromal components. Anastomosing nests of tumor cells with large hyperchromatic nuclei, delicate cytoplasm and numerous mitotic figures were seen (arrows) after one hundred and twenty days from carcinogen (MNU) induction, HE x1200. (2): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing adenocarcinomas in the terminal duct and acini (arrow) after one hundred and fifty days from carcinogen (MNU) induction, HE x1200. (3): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing low grade and sclerosing ductal carcinomas (arrows) after one hundred and ninety days from carcinogen (MNU) induction, HE x1200. (4): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing adenocarcinoma (arrowheads) and proliferation of stromal tissue (arrow) thirty days post-treatment, HE x1200. (5): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing adenocarcinoma (arrow) with scattered areas of necrosis and lymphocytes infiltrations (arrowhead) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200. (6): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing adenocarcinoma in the glandular epithelium of the mammary glands (arrow) thirty days post-treatment, HE x1200. (7): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing adenocarcinoma with nuclear atypia and mitoses with mild proliferation of stromal tissue (arrow) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200. (8): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing ductal carcinoma with nuclear atypia and mitoses (arrow) thirty days post-treatment, HE x1200. (9): Mammary glands of rat in gp. showing nests of neoplastic cells. The latter were focally necrotic (arrows) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200.
their formation. Consequently, a major source of ROS production, mitochondria could also be major target susceptible to ROS attack (Kalender et al., 2005). While, rats group treated by combination of doxorubicin HCL and propolis showed lower serum ALT activity than rats group treating by doxorubicin HCL alone due to protective effect of flavonoids in propolis by their capacity to capture and to deactivate the free radicals. Also, propolis has a very strong scavenger effect (Li et al., 2008).

Rats group treated by propolis alone showed highly significant decrease in serum ALT activity may be due to propolis ameliorate hepatic dysfunction (Badr et al., 2011a). Its antioxidant properties were considered responsible for preventing the cellular alterations seen in rough endoplasmic reticulum, golgi complex, nucleus and plasma membrane of the hepatocytes (Rodriguez et al., 1997).

Increase in serum ALT activity was found in rats group which injected with N-methyl-N-nitrosourea to induce mammary cancer without treatment may be due to the liver is the primary target for carcinogen (N-methyl-N-nitrosourea) and induces multiple cellular, molecular and biochemical change (Pakharukova et al., 2007).

Serum AST and ALP activities increased in all animals groups may be due to the effect of carcinogen as previously mentioned on liver beside possibility of effect of primary mammary tumor itself (Abbasalipourkabir et al., 2010). Serum AST activity used as a marker for liver metastasis in breast cancer patients and also as a marker for hepatotoxicity (Vennila et al., 2006). Serum ALP activity is a sensitive indicator of mild biliary obstruction, thus being a very sensitive indicator for liver progression, thus it can be used in detecting breast cancer recurrence as ALP activity alone was abnormal in high proportion of breast cancer patients with bone and/or liver metastasis (Keshaviah et al., 2007).

The experimental data also show that effect of doxorubicin HCL on some kidney function tests (Tab. 5 and 6) as serum creatinine level which increased may be due to doxorubicin HCL induce a severe nephrotic syndrome. Several mechanisms have been suggested for its induction to nephrotic syndrome. The most possible mechanisms for their renal toxicity may be alterations of the permeability of the glomerular capillary wall (Weening and Rennke, 1983). Combination treatment by doxorubicin HCL with propolis increase of serum creatinine but with lower value than group treated by doxorubicin HCL alone may be due to caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE) one component of propolis prevented lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation (Badr et al., 2011a). Also, increasing in serum urea level may be due to renal nephrosis by doxorubicin HCL cause nephron function ceased because of tubule loss before glomerular injury lead to preclude filtration. Also, local extension of glomerular injury to destroy the tubule neck is an important cause of loss of renal function in doxorubicin HCL nephrosis (Javaid et al., 2001). In combined treated group the increase in serum urea lower than group treated by doxorubicin HCL group alone may be due to propolis extract prevents renal oxidative stress induce by doxorubicin HCL by an increasing rate of glutathion (GSH) or by induction of its synthesis or by a scavenger effect (Boutabet et al., 2011).

Regarding the results (Tab. 7 and 8) of the present study, increasing in hepatic malondialdehyde level in group treated by doxorubicin HCL alone may be due to its quinone group under aerobic conditions can undergo one electron reduction to generate semiquinone radical can rapidly react with oxygen to form superoxide radicals like hydroxyl radical that participate in the peroxidation of membrane lipids leading to increasing malondialdehyde formation. Lipid peroxidation affect liver to a greater extent causing formation of high molecular mass protein aggregates within the membrane. Hence, increase level of malondialdehyde is indicator of lipid peroxidation (Dormandy, 1980). Co-administration treatment of doxorubicin HCL with propolis decreased malondialdehyde level but not reach to the level of propolis treated group and control group.

Rats group treated by propolis alone has significant decrease in malondialdehyde level may be due to it extracts inhibit lipid peroxidation. This protective effect can be due to scavenging malondialdehyde molecules by propolis active ingredients or by inhibition of mitochondrial and cytosolic liperoxidation chain reaction (Wided et al., 2007). Rats group injected by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea without treatment showed increase in malondialdehyde level may be due to alteration in the oxidant-antioxidant profile is known to occur.
in cancer (Yeh et al., 2005). Free radicals are formed in both physiological and pathological conditions in mammalian tissues. Free radicals are capable of altering all major classes of biomolecules, such as lipid, nucleic acid and protein, with changes in their structure and function (Birnboim, 1986).

Concerning the antioxidant enzymes activities in liver, the decrease in catalase activity in doxorubicin HCL treated group may be due to catalase catalyze dismutation of the superoxide anion ($O_2^-$) into hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) which then converting $H_2O_2$ to water thus providing protection against reactive oxygen species (Sayed-Ahmed et al., 2010). The reduction in activity of this enzyme may be caused by the increase in free radical production during doxorubicin HCL metabolism (Danz et al., 2009). Co-administration of doxorubicin HCL with propolis caused the decrease in catalase activity but with lesser degree than doxorubicin HCL alone treated group may be due to propolis which contain flavonoids increase mitochondrial and cytosolic CAT activity. The increase in this enzyme activity can be the consequence of an induction of CAT genes and protein expression synthesis (Wided et al., 2007).

Hepatic superoxide dismutase activity increase in propolis group may be due to propolis increase mitochondrial and cytosolic SOD. The increase in this enzymatic activity can be the consequence of an induction in the SOD genes and protein expression synthesis (Wided et al., 2007) . Also, combined treated group with doxorubicin HCL and propolis significantly restored the SOD activity towards normal which indicated that propolis could scavenge reactive free radicals that eventually lessen the oxidative tissue damage and subsequently improved the activity of this antioxidant enzyme (Badr et al., 2011a). While, SOD activity decreased doxorubicin HCL treated group may be due to it undergoes one-electron reduction through a metabolic activation caused by NADPH cytochrome P-450 reductase or other flavin-containing enzymes in microsomes (Bachur et al., 1978). The effect of doxorubicin

**Fig. 10.** Liver of rat in gp.(3) showing severe congestion of the portal blood vessels (arrowhead) and hyperplasia in the biliary epithelium (arrows) thirty days post-treatment, HE x1200. **(11):** Liver of rat in gp.(3) showing thickened capsule with hyalized fibrous connective tissue (arrow) and subcapsular hydropic degeneration (arrowhead) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200. **(12):** Liver of rat in gp.(4) showing necrotic area infiltrated with round cells (arrow) thirty days post-treatment, HE x1200. **(13):** Liver of rat in gp.(4) showing few extravasated erythrocytes among the hepatic cells (arrow) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200. **(14):** Liver of rat in gp.(5) showing congestion of the portal blood vessels (arrows) thirty days post-treatment, HE x300. **(15):** Liver of rat in gp.(5) showing hemorrhage among the hepatocytes (arrow) seventy days post-treatment, HE x1200.
HCL on major enzymes participating in free radical metabolism. They found that superoxide dismutase and catalase activities decrease by doxorubicin HCL (Kalender et al., 2005). Also, SOD activity decreased in rats which injected with N-methly-N-nitrosourea alone to induce mammary cancer without treatment may be due to the malignant cells of different cancer types exhibit heterogeneity in the levels of oxidative stress, associated with various expression levels of SOD and other antioxidant enzymes. Decreased SOD activity was observed in various cancerous conditions (Selvendiran et al., 2003).

Liver histopathology (Fig.10-15) of gp.(3) thirty days post-treatment showed severe congestion of the portal blood vessels and hyperplasia in the biliary epithelium. While, seventy days post-treatment appeared thickened capsule with hyalinized fibrous connective tissue, subcapsular hydropic degeneration and portal aggregations of round cells besides necrotic area. Our results agreed partially with (Rashid et al., 2013).

Kidneys histopathology (Fig. 16-21) of gp.(3) thirty days post-treatment showed focal coagulative necrosis, coarse eosinophilic globules in the cortical tubular epithelium and necrosis in the renal epithelium represented by pyknosis and cytoplasmolysis and seventy days post-treatment revealed focal interstitial aggregations of round cells, collapsed and hypocellular glomeruli, tortuous renal tubules and stuffed with eosinophilic proteinaceous material. Our results agreed partially with (Dabak et al., 2009; Rashid et al., 2013).

Histopathological finding in gp.(5) similar to gp.(3) but with lesser extent of side effect on organs (mammary gland, liver and kidneys) due to ameliorating side effects by propolis which combined with doxorubicin Hcl. Also, higher antitumor action appeared on mammary glands tissue due to combining effects of anticancer agents (Badr et al., 2011a)

CONCLUSION

The data presented in this study suggested that doxorubicin HCL caused hepatic and
**Tab. 1.** Serum tumor marker (CA 15.3) level (mean values±SE) in rats after 120 days from the carcinogen (N-methyl-N nitrosourea ) injection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>MNU (carcinogen) injected rats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA 15.3 (U/ml)</td>
<td>1.88±0.25</td>
<td>3.38±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%difference</td>
<td>+79.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

**F-test:** Highly significant at 0.01 probability

Gp.(1)Control group, Gp.(2) MNU(carcinogen), Gp.(3) MNU(carcinogen) +Doxorubicin HCL, Gp.(4) MNU(carcinogen) +Propolis, Gp.(5) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL +Propolis

---

**Tab. 2.** Serum tumor marker (CA 15.3) level (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 30 and 70 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>CA 15.3 (U/ml) (After 30 days)</th>
<th>CA 15.3 (U/ml) (After seventy days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td>1.88±0.24</td>
<td>1.28±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td>3.16±0.33</td>
<td>3.80±0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td>2.40±0.12</td>
<td>2.16±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td>2.92±0.08</td>
<td>2.84±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td>2.28±0.21</td>
<td>1.84±0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F-test:** Highly significant at 0.01 probability

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

---

**Tab. 3.** Protein profile &some liver enzymes (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 30 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total protein g/dl</th>
<th>Albumin g/dl</th>
<th>Globulin g/dl</th>
<th>ALT I/U</th>
<th>AST I/U</th>
<th>ALP I/U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td>5.72±0.23</td>
<td>3.50±0.07</td>
<td>2.22±0.20</td>
<td>17.96±0.88</td>
<td>75.80±5.38</td>
<td>84.99±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td>5.92±0.15</td>
<td>3.14±0.14</td>
<td>2.78±0.14</td>
<td>22.20±0.73</td>
<td>133.45±1.18</td>
<td>126.75±1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td>4.40±0.23</td>
<td>2.51±0.15</td>
<td>1.89±0.16</td>
<td>29.12±1.56</td>
<td>147.76±1.01</td>
<td>142.06±1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td>5.68±0.08</td>
<td>3.26±0.05</td>
<td>2.42±0.92</td>
<td>16.40±1.23</td>
<td>123.93±1.20</td>
<td>120.85±1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td>5.51±0.14</td>
<td>3.08±0.11</td>
<td>2.43±0.33</td>
<td>25.52±0.80</td>
<td>130.82±0.59</td>
<td>125.46±0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F-test:** Highly significant at 0.01 probability

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

**Gp.(1)Control group, Gp.(2) MNU(carcinogen), Gp.(3) MNU(carcinogen) +Doxorubicin HCL, Gp.(4) MNU(carcinogen) +Propolis, Gp.(5) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL +Propolis
### Tab. 4. Protein profile & some liver enzymes (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 70 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total protein g/dl</th>
<th>Albumin g/dl</th>
<th>Globulin g/dl</th>
<th>ALT l/U</th>
<th>AST l/U</th>
<th>ALP l/U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td>6.19±0.17</td>
<td>4.13±0.21</td>
<td>2.06±0.21</td>
<td>17.04±0.48</td>
<td>73.00±3.14</td>
<td>107.56±1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td>6.20±0.19</td>
<td>4.09±0.17</td>
<td>2.11±0.10</td>
<td>18.71±0.65</td>
<td>95.80±1.98</td>
<td>127.32±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td>3.87±0.22</td>
<td>1.37±0.13</td>
<td>2.50±0.15</td>
<td>30.67±1.19</td>
<td>104.6±2.80</td>
<td>166.02±3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td>6.26±0.18</td>
<td>3.89±0.25</td>
<td>2.37±0.08</td>
<td>7.60±0.24</td>
<td>95.00±1.87</td>
<td>115.62±3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td>4.97±0.25</td>
<td>2.52±0.21</td>
<td>2.45±0.16</td>
<td>21.67±0.38</td>
<td>100.00±0.63</td>
<td>125.24±0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test ** NS ** ** NS **

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

NS: Non significant changes
**: Highly significant at 0.01 probability
Gp.(1) Control group, Gp.(2) MNU(carcinogen), Gp.(3) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL, Gp.(4) MNU(carcinogen) + Propolis, Gp.(5) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL + Propolis

### Tab. 5. Some kidney function tests (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 30 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Creatinine mg/dl</th>
<th>Urea mg/dl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td>0.52±0.007</td>
<td>30.38±0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td>0.52±0.005</td>
<td>28.42±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td>0.66±0.013</td>
<td>41.36±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td>0.53±0.006</td>
<td>32.72±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td>0.65±0.006</td>
<td>35.95±1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

*: Significant at 0.05 probability
Gp.(1) Control group, Gp.(2) MNU(carcinogen), Gp.(3) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL, Gp.(4) MNU(carcinogen) + Propolis, Gp.(5) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL + Propolis

### Tab. 6. Some kidney function tests (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 70 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Creatinine mg/dl</th>
<th>Urea mg/dl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td>0.46±0.011</td>
<td>28.72±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td>0.49±0.009</td>
<td>27.25±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td>0.86±0.035</td>
<td>73.72±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td>0.46±0.005</td>
<td>25.86±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td>0.72±0.019</td>
<td>41.77±0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

**: Highly significant at 0.01 probability
Gp.(1) Control group, Gp.(2) MNU(carcinogen), Gp.(3) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL, Gp.(4) MNU(carcinogen) + Propolis, Gp.(5) MNU(carcinogen) + Doxorubicin HCL + Propolis
renal injuries and had cumulative side effects (increasing side effects by increasing intake duration). The propolis did not induce side effects and possessed some anticancer activity but lower than doxorubicin HCL. Combination of doxorubicin HCL with propolis decreased side effects of doxorubicin HCL and increased anticancer activity of the propolis. Histopathological finding confirm these investigations.
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**Tab. 7.** Hepatic (MDA) level, and (CAT) and (SOD) activities (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) after 30 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>MDA (nmol/g)</th>
<th>CAT (U/g)</th>
<th>SOD (U/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.00±0.31</td>
<td>15.33±0.62</td>
<td>6.18±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.60±0.67</td>
<td>15.33±0.81</td>
<td>5.90±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.66±0.81</td>
<td>6.99±0.62</td>
<td>5.92±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.00±0.70</td>
<td>16.33±0.62</td>
<td>6.91±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.20±0.66</td>
<td>7.99±0.33</td>
<td>6.44±0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test **: Highly significant at 0.01 probability

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.

**Tab. 8.** Hepatic (MDA) level, and (CAT) and (SOD) activities (mean values±SE) in rats in gps.(1-5) 70 days post-treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>MDA (nmol/g)</th>
<th>CAT (U/g)</th>
<th>SOD (U/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.20±0.48</td>
<td>13.99±0.40</td>
<td>5.90±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.22±0.71</td>
<td>13.33±0.52</td>
<td>5.00±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.89±1.16</td>
<td>3.66±0.33</td>
<td>2.52±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.90±0.19</td>
<td>13.99±0.66</td>
<td>7.17±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp.(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.55±0.67</td>
<td>7.32±0.40</td>
<td>6.60±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F-test **: Highly significant at 0.01 probability

Means at the same column followed by different letters were significantly different and the highest value was represented with the letter a.
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