INTRODUCTION

The literature debates the nasal cancer problems in dogs on many areas of the nasal mucosa histological structures. A sample of the mass via biopsy and histopathology (looking at the wedge of tissue under the microscope) or aspirate and cytology (looking at cells on a slide) is needed to determine what type of cancer is present (Masson, 1956). We have focused our attention on the cytomorphological aspects, which we have been correlated with the anatomo-clinical and imagistic ones, using two methods: nasal flush and rhinoscopy (Balint et al., 2015; AJCC, 2010).

Rhinoscopy involves insertion of a rigid or flexible fiberoptic viewing scope through the nostril into the nasal cavity. A flexible scope can also be inserted into the back of the mouth and retroflexed to examine the posterior part of the nasal cavity above the soft palate. The presence of fluid, masses, and any distortion of the tissues lining the nasal cavities are documented. If any abnormality is located, a biopsy of the tissue can be taken with special biopsy forceps. Most of the nasal cavity in medium to large dogs can be visualized. Some limitations exist in cats and smaller dogs because their nasal cavities are so small. On occasion, the frontal sinuses can be accessed and evaluated; however, this is not routinely possible. Use of a flushing solution to enhance visualization and a rigid endoscope instead of a flexible one may increase the chances of achieving adequate examination of the front part of the nasal cavities, but a flexible scope is better at examining the posterior nasal cavity (12).
The purpose of the study is to analyze the possibility to choose the most useful method of cytological diagnosis between the two of them (nasal flush and rhinoscopy), based on the clinical aspects of the investigated animal regarding the advantages and disadvantages of each technique (Cummings, 2010; Lo et al., 2010; Lo et al., 2012; Lo et al., 2013; Lozano, 2012; Manolescu and Balint, 2010).

MATERIALS AND METHOD
There were 18 dogs investigated, whom were presented with their owners at the Veterinary Clinic for the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Bucharest, with respiratory problems due to obstructions of the nasal cavity.

Clinical, they presented facial deformations in the nasal or sinusal area and/or bloody fluid loss with different grades of respiratory difficulty.

The cases that involved rhinal loss of bloody fluids, the nasal cavity was flushed with saline solution. The material resulted following centrifugation (1500 RPM/10 min) was applied on slides, using panoptic staining (May-Grunwal Giemsa). The cytomorphologic diagnose was performed using Olympus BX 51 optical microscope.

The rest of the cases that did not allow the nasal flush, were approached with an endoscopy exam by a specialist veterinary physician; if the animal presented facial bone deformations a radiological exam was performed.

The rhinoscopy is a high value component in the nasal cavity examination and completes itself with other specialty exams.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
The cytomorphologic diagnosis was obtained through two techniques, nasal flush and rhinoscopy. The most useful method is the first one, being easier to accomplish, less invasive and with a 75% reliability. In case of an inflammatory process within the cytomorphic exam we can tell whether it evolves acute or chronic in order to establish the therapy. Just in case of lack of cellularity after the centrifugation or by diagnosing an inflammatory process with adverse post-therapy evolution, endoscopy will be used. In this case, the inflammatory process can go with a neoplastic process.

Endoscopy is the most secure method for establishing the nasal mucosa pathology diagnosis. However, it is a laborious technique, presenting risks arising from general anesthesia, and the occurrence of postoperative bleeding. Therefore, it is recommended to first try getting the diagnostic using the flush technique (Tams and Rawlings, 2010).

The distribution of the cytomorphological forms of nasal and sinusal neoplasms
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The morphocytology diagnosis revealed the following types of nasal cancer:
- 10 of the 18 cases turned out to be epithelial neoplasms of the olfactory mucosa:
  - 1 of the 10 cases revealed the malignization of the glandular cells responsible for mucus production within the mucus glands (adenocarcinoma);
  - 9 cases were undifferentiated vegetant or squamous carcinomas, resulted from the malignization of the respiratory epithelium;
- 6 out of the 18 cases turned out to be nervous system tumors, either by the malignization of the melanic cells present in the submucosa, generating a typical malignant melanoma, or by the malignization of the sensorial nervous cells from the nasal respiratory mucosa, generating the aspect of estesiocarcinoma.
- 2 out of 18 cases turned out to be mesenchymal tumors: 1 osteosarcoma and 1 fibrosarcoma.

Fig. 1. Undifferentiated vegetant carcinoma MGG x 1000 – classic “finger glove” aspect

Fig. 2. Invasive adenocarcinoma of high malignancy – MGG x 2000. It identifies in every cell of the corionic glands a high atipism, with monstrosities, cytoplasmic basophilia and the presence of giant nucleolus.

Fig. 3. Malignant melanoma of the nasal mucosa MGG x 1000. Exclusively proliferation of tumor cells loaded with melanin pigment (melanocytes).

Fig. 4. Esteziocarcinoma of the nasal mucosa MGG x 1000. It identifies sensory epithelial cells with malignant cell aspect.

Fig. 5. Left nostril (rhinoscopy) – Notice a swollen, discolored area in the nose cone. Cytomorphological diagnosis: estesiocarcinoma.
CONCLUSIONS

The clinical symptoms of nasal neoplasm have an early onset and are extremely specific;
The intra-nasal imaging exam (rhinoscopy), followed by the biopsy exam, is an excellent means of diagnosis of the nasal neoplasms.
The nasal lavage, in the case of bloody leaks, is also a good means of diagnosis but has the disadvantage of sometimes presenting, at the morphocytology exam, an acute or chronic inflammatory process that can shield the tumor process.
The morphocytology exam performed through rhinoscopy precisely establishes the differential diagnostic between an acute rhinitis and a neoplasm.
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Fig. 6. Right nostril (rhinoscopy) – The lumen is seen almost nonexistent and a portion of the cone hypertrophied, with a white-hyperemic aspect, different from the rest of the mucosa. Cytomorphological diagnosis: high malignant adenocarcinoma

Fig. 7. Left nostril (rhinoscopy) – Particularly pronounced hypertrophy. Notice a portion of the nose cone hypertrophied, that almost completely obliterates the lower nasal meatus. Cytomorphological diagnosis: nasal carcinoma