

Comparative Analysis of Drinking Water Mineral Composition

**Gheorghe Valentin GORAN, Victor CRIVINEANU, Liliana TUDOREANU,
Andreea Stefania VOINEA**

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences, Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Heavy metals in the food Chain and Modelling, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, 105 Splaiul Independentei, 050097, 5th district, Bucharest, Romania;
ggoran@fmvb.ro

Abstract. The purpose of this paper was to investigate the mineral and heavy metals concentrations in tap water, filtered water and bottled water. Minerals and heavy metals such as Ag, Be, Cd, Co, Li, Ni, Pb and Se, have not been identified (concentrations below 0.001 ppm), suggesting the absence of heavy metal contamination of drinking water in the area of the study. The samples had low concentrations for aluminum and strontium. The highest concentrations of macro-and micro-elements and toxic metals were found only in the well water samples collected from the Ramnicul Sarat and Focsani area, possibly because of higher soil pollution. For all drinking water samples, the highest mineral concentrations were found in essential macro-minerals such as sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium. For calcium, the highest concentration was found in the well water samples from Focsani area.

Keywords: water, minerals, heavy metals, ICP-OES.

Introduction. Water is the most important food that can not be replaced. Water, the substance that supports life on Earth, with its beneficial qualities, is a major concern at global level. Some researchers argue that the disappearance of people on Earth could be caused by lack of water or catastrophic floods instead of other destructive factors like shortage of food (hunger) or contagious diseases (Ma et al, 2005). Practically, both in humans and animals offering a fresh, abundant supply of easily accessible drinking water at all times is essential. (Azrina et al, 2011). Also, quality drinking water should have adequate quality with low to absence of concentrations of impurities and potentially toxic metals such as heavy metals (Beede, 1991).

Aims and objectives. The aim of this paper was the identification of the main minerals and potentially toxic minerals (heavy metals) in drinking water sampled from home water systems (tap water, filtered tap water, and well water) and commercially available drinking water bottled in plastic and glass receptacles.

The objectives of this survey were: 1) to quantify the concentration of the main minerals and potentially toxic mineral in water samples from Râmnicu Sărat area and Focșani city; 2) to identify significant differences between the water samples and compared to quality standards for drinking water.

The importance of this study stems from the fact that its findings are useful both for consumers and food industry because water is a food ingredient or it is used in food production.

Materials and methods. Samples of tap water, filtered water, bottled water and well water from Ramnicul Sarat region and Focsani city region, were collected and analyzed by ICP-OES.

The water samples collected are A1 to A14 as following: 5 samples of tap water, 5 samples of filtered water, 2 samples of bottled water, 1 sample of well water from Ramnicul

Sarat region and 1 sample of well water from Focsani city region. Each sample was acidified to 2% nitric acid with no previous concentration.

The sampled were analysed by ICP-OES. Three calibration standards were obtained by dilution from a multielement standard (Merck) which had total concentration of 1000 mg/L for each of the following minerals: Ag, Al, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Se, Sr, Tl, and Zn. The total concentration of minerals in the calibration standards were 0.01 ppm, 0.1 ppm and 50 ppm respectively.

Results and discussions. The total concentrations of Ag, Be, Cd, Co, Li, Ni, Pb and Se were below the method detection limit (0.01 ppm) for all samples.

Table 1

Minerals concentration (ppm) in water samples (total concentration \pm standard error)

Sample	Ba	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Na	Sr	Zn
A1	0.4540 ± 0.0007	39.96 ± 0.41	-	2.827 ± 0.017	4.800 ± 0.055	-	10.87 ± 0.13	17.93 ± 0.0011	-
A2	0.0568 ± 0.0007	39.10 ± 0.81	-	2.784 ± 0.058	4.732 ± 0.058	0.0119 ± 0.0002	11.22 ± 0.26	17.40 ± 0.0038	91.71 ± 0.0042
A3	0.0601 ± 0.0010	43.10 ± 0.69	0.0210 ± 0.0003	2.297 ± 0.055	4.909 ± 0.039	-	11.58 ± 0.19	19.15 ± 0.0034	49.29 ± 0.0018
A4	0.0431 ± 0.0009	38.50 ± 0.64	-	2.694 ± 0.015	4.602 ± 0.057	-	10.73 ± 0.18	16.91 ± 0.0024	-
A5	0.0198 ± 0.0004	43.05 ± 0.23	0.0153 ± 0.0036	3.139 ± 0.004	4.830 ± 0.042	-	15.02 ± 0.55	17.78 ± 0.0003	0.0813 ± 0.0006
A6	0.0511 ± 0.0007	37.22 ± 0.52	0.0186 ± 0.0021	2.293 ± 0.047	4.258 ± 0.018	0.0108 ± 0.0003	13.14 ± 0.26	0.1532 ± 0.0025	0.8573 ± 0.0089
A7	0.0530 ± 0.004	41.04 ± 0.33	0.0121 ± 0.0010	2.710 ± 0.040	4.965 ± 0.063	0.0104 ± 0.0001	12.78 ± 0.01	0.1762 ± 0.0016	0.4258 ± 0.0053
A8	0.0406 ± 0.0005	38.62 ± 0.35	-	2.891 ± 0.035	4.527 ± 0.022	-	12.14 ± 0.10	0.1737 ± 0.0013	0.0149 ± 0.0002
A9	0.0524 ± 0.0011	41.43 ± 0.59	-	2.623 ± 0.051	4.828 ± 0.071	0.0123 ± 0.0001	12.78 ± 0.23	0.1730 ± 0.0025	0.9205 ± 0.0101
A10	0.0402 ± 0.0002	38.93 ± 0.35	-	2.726 ± 0.036	4.579 ± 0.031	-	12.55 ± 0.21	0.1740 ± 0.0014	-
A11	0.0208 ± 0.003	101.3 ± 0.18	-	0.4521 ± 0.0221	7.567 ± 0.090	-	2.540 ± 0.049	-	-
A12	0.0206 ± 0.0002	104.2 ± 0.13	-	0.4106 ± 0.0125	7.307 ± 0.122	-	2.530 ± 0.053	-	-
A13	0.1262 ± 0.0003	125.4 ± 0.13	0.0120 ± 0.0013	4.070 ± 0.041	27.78 ± 0.51	0.0737 ± 0.0005	262.2 ± 0.21	2.071 ± 0.023	0.0778 ± 0.0003
A14	0.2776 ± 0.0020	176.5 ± 0.22	0.0103 ± 0.0019	5.839 ± 0.033	44.49 ± 0.51	0.0158 ± 0.0001	28.63 ± 0.21	2.306 ± 0.032	0.1196 ± 0.0053

It was also registered low levels of aluminium and strontium, potentially chronic toxic metals for the consumer.

Conclusions

The total concentrations of Ag, Be, Cd, Co, Li, Ni, Pb and Se in all samples were below the detection limit of the method (0.01 ppm).

The highest mineral concentrations were found in the in well water samples collected from the Ramnicul Sarat and Focsani area, possibly because of higher soil pollution in those areas.

All water samples had high concentrations of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium. The sample from Focsani area had the highest calcium concentration.

REFERENCES

1. Azrina, A., Khoo, H.E., Idris, M.A., Amin, I., and Razman, M.R., (2011). Major inorganic elements in tap water samples in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malays J Nutr.* 17(2):271-6.
2. Azoulay, A., Garzon, P., and Eisenberg, M.J. (2001). Comparison of the mineral content of tap water and bottled waters. *J Gen Intern Med.* 16(3):168-75.
3. Beede, D.K. (1991). Mineral and water nutrition. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract.* 7(2):373-90.
4. Krachler, M., and Shotyk, W. (2009). Trace and ultratrace metals in bottled waters: survey of sources worldwide and comparison with refillable metal bottles. *Sci Total Environ.* 407(3):1089-96.
5. Ma, J.Z., Wang, X.S., and Edmunds, W.M. (2005). The characteristics of ground-water resources and their changes under the impacts of human activity in the arid Northwest China—a case study of the Shiyang River Basin. *J. Arid Env.* 61(2):277–295.
6. Morr S, Cuartas E, Alwattar B, Lane JM. (2006); How much calcium is in your drinking water? A survey of calcium concentrations in bottled and tap water and their significance for medical treatment and drug administration. *HSS J.* 2(2):130-5.
7. Shotyk, W., and Krachler, M. (2009). Determination of trace element concentrations in natural freshwaters: how low is "low", and how low do we need to go? *J Environ Monit.* (10):1747-1753.